1	Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather (SBN 502981)		
2	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES 5678 Hollywood Blvd., Ste. D451		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90027		
4	(323) 555-1435 (866) 555-1147 fax		
5	cadmium@cqelaw.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALI	FORNIA
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11			
12	Trixie Argon , individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated	Case No. BC55512	12
13	persons,	Plaintiff's Notice	
14	Plaintiff;	Motion to Compo MegaCorp to Pro	
15		Records at Trial;	
16	VS.	Authorities	
17	MegaCorp Inc. , a California corpora-	Complaint filed: Trial date:	June 9, 2013
18	tion, and Does 1 through 100, inclu- sive,	IIIdi üdle.	August 20, 2015
19		Assigned for all p	-
20	Defendants.	Judge Jerry Blank, Central Civil Divis	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	NOTIO	CE OF MOTION	
2			
3	To all parties and their attorneys of r	ecord:	
4	You are hereby notified that at a	date and time to be determined, in Dept. 1010	
5	of the above-entitled court, plaintiff	Trixie Argon will move the Court for a motion	
6	to compel defendant MegaCorp to p	roduce financial records she previously	
7	requested.		
8	This motion is made on the grou	and that Ms. Argon served MegaCorp with a	
9	valid notice to produce financial records at trial. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1987(c), Cal.		
10	Civ. Code § 3295(c). MegaCorp serve	ed objections and refused to comply.	
11	Ms. Argon's notice to produce seeks information directly relevant to her trial		
12	for punitive damages against MegaC	Corp. Therefore, the documents are material to	
13	Ms. Argon's case and there is good c	ause to order them to be produced. Cal. Civ.	
14	Proc. Code § 1987(c).		
15	The motion will be based on this	s notice, on the attached points and	
16	authorities, on the papers and records on file, and —if there is a hearing on this		
17	motion—on the evidence presented at the hearing.		
18			
19	November 19, 2015	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES	
20			
21		By:	
22		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather	
23		Attorney for Plaintiff	
24			
25			
26			

1	POINTS & AUTHORITIES
2	
3	Previously, the Court denied MegaCorp's motion for summary adjudication of Ms.
4	Argon's claims for punitive damages. (Eaglefeather Decl. \P 1.) Ms. Argon served
5	MegaCorp with a timely notice to produce financial records at trial. (Eaglefeather
6	Decl. \P 2.) MegaCorp responded with boilerplate objections to Ms. Argon's
7	requests and refused to produce any financial records. (Eaglefeather Decl. \P 3.)
8	This motion seeks to compel MegaCorp to produce these records.
9	
10	1. Ms. Argon is entitled to the financial records.
11	Because this is a punitive-damages case, Ms. Argon is entitled to subpoena
12	documents "to be available at the trial for the purpose of establishing the profits or
13	financial condition" of MegaCorp. Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c).
14	Ms. Argon has a right to these records even without showing that there is
15	a "substantial probability that [she] will prevail". Id. That's the rule for pretrial
16	discovery of financial records, but not for records to be brought to trial. <i>Id.</i>
17	
18	2. The financial records are material to Ms. Argon's case.
19	If the jury finds MegaCorp liable for punitive damages, the jury may then
20	consider "[e]vidence of profit and financial condition" of those defendants to
21	determine the amount of punitive damages. Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3294(a) and 3295(d);
22	Nolin v. Nat'l Convenience Stores, Inc., 95 Cal. App. 3d 279, 288 (1979).
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	3. Ms. Argon will be preju	diced without the financial records,		
2	so there is good cause to compel their production.			
3	MegaCorp was ordered to stand trial on punitive damages. (Eaglefeather Decl.			
4	\P 4.) If the jury returns an init	[4.) If the jury returns an initial verdict for punitive damages, Ms. Argon will		
5	need these financial records t	need these financial records to prove the amount of punitive damages. MegaCorp		
6	cannot circumvent the trial by	cannot circumvent the trial by withholding evidence that the jury must consider.		
7	Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(d).	Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(d).		
8				
9	4. Conclusion			
10	For these reasons, Ms. Argon asks that the Court order MegaCorp to produce			
11	the requested financial records.			
12				
13	November 19, 2015	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES		
14				
15		Ву:		
16		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather		
17		Attorney for Plaintiff		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

To:	Cadmium	Q.	Eaglefeather
-----	---------	----	--------------

From: Trixie Argon

Date: 10 September 2015

Re: Cause of action for malicious prosecution

Malicious prosecution has three elements that must be pleaded and proved:

- 1) the defendant commenced a judicial proceeding against the plaintiff;
- the original proceeding was "initiated with malice" and "without probable cause"; and
- 3) the proceeding was "pursued to a legal termination in [the plaintiff's] favor."

Bertero v. National General Corp., 13 Cal. 3d 43, 50 (1974).

1. Commencement of judicial proceeding

Any civil proceeding where the plaintiff seeks affirmative relief may be the basis of a malicious-prosecution claim. The original plaintiff does not need to personally sign the complaint. If the plaintiff is "actively instrumental" or the "proximate and efficient cause" of the action, the plaintiff may be liable. *Jacques Interiors v. Petrak*, 188 Cal. App. 3d 1363, 1372 (1987).

2. Initiated without probable cause and with malice

The malicious-prosecution plaintiff must establish both malice and lack of probable cause by the defendant in the underlying action.

In a malicious-prosecution action against an attorney in a civil suit, the standard for probable cause is whether a reasonable attorney would have thought the underlying claim was tenable at the time the original complaint was filed. *Sheldon Appel Co. v. Albert & Oliker, 47 Cal. 3d 863, 885–86* (1989). An attorney may be liable for continuing to prosecute a claim after they discover the action lacks

probable cause, even if there was probable cause at the outset. *Zamos v. Stroud*, 32 Cal. 4th 958, 970 (2004).

The adequacy of an attorney's research is not relevant, because probable cause relies on an objective standard of reasonableness. But if the court finds no probable cause, the thoroughness of the attorney's research may apply to showing malice. *Sheldon Appel Co.*, 47 Cal. 3d at 875.

The showing of malice requires evidence of "ill will or some improper purpose," ranging "anywhere from open hostility to indifference." *Grindle v. Lorbeer*, 196 Cal. App. 3d 1461, 1465 (1987). Malice may be inferred from lack of probable cause if the party's behavior was clearly unreasonable. However, this is not an automatic inference. *Grindle*, 196 Cal. App. 3d at 1468 ("Negligence does not equate with malice"). As above, failure by an attorney to conduct an adequate investigation may be evidence of "indifference" suggesting malice.

3. Favorable termination

Malicious prosecution requires that the underlying complaint to have been terminated in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff. This means that a defendant cannot make a malicious-prosecution counterclaim as a "defense" to a complaint that appears to be malicious. Until the underlying complaint has been resolved, a malicious-prosecution claim cannot lie. *Babb v. Superior Court*, 3 Cal. 3d 841, 846-847 (1971). Thus, procedurally, the only option is to complete the underlying action, and then file a claim for malicious prosecution in a follow-on action.

"Termination" usually means the entry of judgment in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff on a given claim. But any termination—for instance, deleting a claim from an amended complaint—is adequate basis for malicious prosecution. Whether the underlying claim may be revived (e.g., on appeal) is not relevant for malicious prosecution. As long as it's been judicially terminated once, it's fair game.

4. Defenses

The plaintiff in a malicious-prosecution action may still be barred from recovery if defendant successfully pleads an affirmative defense. The major affirmative defense is reliance on counsel, but this is not available when the malicious-prosecution defendant is himself the counsel in the underlying action.

Another major affirmative defense is proof that the underlying action was only initiated after an independent investigation of the charges by appropriate authorities. For example, in a medical-malpractice case where the doctor had been investigated by the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, who recommended bringing the malpractice claim, the malicious-prosecution defendants successfully pled this affirmative defense. *Hogen v. Valley Hospital*, 147 Cal. App. 3d 119, 125 (1983).

The statute of limitations for malicious prosecution is two years. Ordinarily this period starts at the time of entry of judgment in the underlying action, even though that action may still be subject to appeal. However, if the appeal is filed, the statute of limitations stops running until the appellate process is exhausted, at which time it continues running. *Feld v. W. Land & Dev. Co.*, 2 Cal. App. 4th 1328, 1334 (1992).

5. Remedies

The successful malicious-prosecution plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, and in certain cases, punitive damages. Compensatory damages include reasonable attorney's fees and costs in defending the underlying action. They also can include damages for emotional distress, mental suffering, impairment to reputation, and value of time and wages lost to the underlying action. *Bertero v. Nat'l Gen. Corp.*, 13 Cal. 3d 43, 59 (1974); *Rupp v. Summerfield*, 161 Cal. App. 2d 657, 667 (1958).

THE LAW OFFICES OF CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER PLC

5419 HURLEY BLVD STE C731LOS ANGELES CA 90027323 555 1435323 555 1439 FAX

CADMIUM @ CQELAW.COM

February 15, 2015

George Falkenburg Falkenburg, Fester, and Funk LLP 1252 W. 83rd Street Bakersfield, CA 90909

Re: Nicholson v. Amygdala Inc., Case No. B718590125-2

Dear Mr. Falkenburg:

In response to your recent request, I've enclosed a DVD of photographs I took during the inspection of the Amygdala facility on October 30, 2014.

I apologize for the delay, but I was recently hospitalized for a concussion sustained while rollerblading. Rest assured that I am on the mend. If you have any questions about this DVD, please let me know.

Separately: you recently served a set of **953 interrogatories** on my client. These interrogatories were *not* accompanied by the declaration of necessity that's required when serving more than 35 requests. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 2030.050.

I must, therefore, ask you to withdraw these interrogatories. While you are welcome to serve them again with the necessary declaration, my client is not obligated to respond to procedurally defective discovery requests. Furthermore, if you don't withdraw these interrogatories within six days, I will file a motion for protective order and seek sanctions against you and your client.

By the way, it was great seeing you and Thelma over the holidays. I think we still have your cheesecake platter. Let's talk soon about our plans for Maui in the spring.

Sincerely,

CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER

CQE / bqe Enclosure

TRIXIE B. ARGON

5678 HOLLYWOOD BLVD. STE. D451 LOS ANGELES CA 90027

(323) 555-1435 TRIXIEARGON @ GMAIL.COM

Education	
 UCLA School of Law Cumulative GPA: 3.98 Academic interests: real-estate financing, criminal procedure, corporations California Bar Exam results pending 	2007-10
 Harvard University B.A. <i>summa cum laude</i>, economics Extensive coursework in astrophysics, statistics Van Damme Scholarship 	2002-06
Legal experience	
 Falkenburg, Fester, & Funk LLP Law clerk Handled various litigation matters in state and federal court An unlawful-detainer action A demurrer to a breach-of-contract lawsuit in state court Oppositions to motions to dismiss in federal court (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b), 12(e), 9 Development of evidence for Internet trademark-infringement actions 	2008–now 9(b))
Other work experience	
 Proximate Cause Assistant to the director Helped devise fundraising campaigns for this innovative nonprofit Handled lunch orders and general errands 	2006-07
 Hot Topic <i>Retail-sales associate</i> Top in-store sales associate in seven out of eight quarters Inventory management 	2003-05
Training and recruiting	