1	Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather (SBN 50298	81)	
2	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES 5419 Hollywood Blvd., Ste. C731		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90027		
4	(323) 555-1435 (866) 555-1147 fax		
5	cadmium@cqelaw.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11			
12	TRIXIE ARGON, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly	Case No. BC55512	212
13	situated persons,	Plaintiff's Notice	
14	Plaintiff;	Motion to Comp MegaCorp to Pro	duce Financial
15		Records at Trial; Authorities	Points &
16	VS.	Authorities	
17	MEGACORP INC., a California	Complaint filed:	June 9, 2008
18	corporation, and DOES 1 through	Trial date:	August 20, 2010
19	100, inclusive,	Assigned for all p	urposes to
20	Defendants.	Judge Jerry Blank	, Dept. 1010,
21			
22		-	
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	NOTIC	CE OF MOTION	
2			
3	To all parties and their attorneys o	of record:	
4	You are hereby notified that a	t a date and time to be determined, in	
5	Dept. 1010 of the above-entitled c	court, plaintiff Trixie Argon will move the	
6	Court for a motion to compel defe	endant MegaCorp to produce financial	
7	records she previously requested.		
8	This motion is made on the g	round that Ms. Argon served MegaCorp	
9	with a valid notice to produce financial records at trial. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code		
10	§ 1987(c), Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(c)). MegaCorp served objections and refused	
11	to comply.		
12	Ms. Argon's notice to produce	e seeks information directly relevant to	
13	her trial for punitive damages aga	inst MegaCorp. Therefore, the documents	
14	are material to Ms. Argon's case and there is good cause to order them to be		
15	produced. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1987(c).		
16	The motion will be based on	The motion will be based on this notice, on the attached points and	
17	authorities, on the papers and rec	ords on file, and—if there is a hearing on	
18	this motion—on the evidence pre	sented at the hearing.	
19			
20	November 19, 2010	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES	
21			
22		By: Con Egn	
23		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather	
24		Attorney for Plaintiff	
25			
26			

1	POINTS & AUTHORITIES
2	
3	Previously, the Court denied MegaCorp's motion for summary adjudication
4	of Ms. Argon's claims for punitive damages. (Eaglefeather Decl. \P 1.) Ms.
5	Argon served MegaCorp with a timely notice to produce financial records
6	at trial. (Eaglefeather Decl. \P 2.) MegaCorp responded with boilerplate
7	objections to Ms. Argon's requests and refused to produce any financial
8	records. (Eaglefeather Decl. \P 3.) This motion seeks to compel MegaCorp to
9	produce these records.
10	
11	1. Ms. Argon is entitled to the financial records.
12	Because this is a punitive-damages case, Ms. Argon is entitled to
13	subpoena documents "to be available at the trial for the purpose of
14	establishing the profits or financial condition" of MegaCorp. Cal. Civ. Code
15	§ 3295(c).
16	Ms. Argon has a right to these records even without showing that there
17	is a "substantial probability that [she] will prevail". <i>Id</i> . That's the rule for
18	pretrial discovery of financial records, but not for records to be brought to
19	trial. <i>Id</i> .
20	
21	2. The financial records are material to Ms. Argon's case.
22	If the jury finds MegaCorp liable for punitive damages, the jury may
23	then consider "[e]vidence of profit and financial condition" of those
24	defendants to determine the amount of punitive damages. Cal. Civ. Code
25	§§ 3294(a) and 3295(d); <i>Nolin v. Nat'l Convenience Stores, Inc.,</i> 95 Cal. App.
26	3d 279, 288 (1979).

1	3. Ms. Argon will be prejudiced	without the financial records,		
2	so there is good cause to compel their production.			
3	MegaCorp was ordered to stand trial on punitive damages. (Eaglefeather			
4	Decl. ¶ 4.) If the jury returns an initial verdict for punitive damages, Ms.			
5	Argon will need these financial records to prove the amount of punitive			
6	damages. MegaCorp cannot circumvent the trial by withholding evidence			
7	that the jury must consider. Cal. Civ. Code § 3295(d).			
8				
9	4. Conclusion			
10	For these reasons, Ms. Argon a	asks that the Court order MegaCorp to		
11	produce the requested financial records.			
12				
13	November 19, 2010	EAGLEFEATHER LAW OFFICES		
14				
15		By: Con Egn		
16		Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather		
17		Attorney for Plaintiff		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

- To: Cadmium Q. Eaglefeather
- From: Trixie Argon
- Date: 10 September 2010

Re: Cause of action for malicious prosecution

Malicious prosecution has three elements that must be pleaded and proved:

- 1) the defendant commenced a judicial proceeding against the plaintiff;
- the original proceeding was "initiated with malice" and "without probable cause"; and
- the proceeding was "pursued to a legal termination in [the plaintiff's] favor."

Bertero v. National General Corp., 13 Cal. 3d 43, 50 (1974).

1. Commencement of judicial proceeding

Any civil proceeding where the plaintiff seeks affirmative relief may be the basis of a malicious-prosecution claim. The original plaintiff does not need to personally sign the complaint. If the plaintiff is "actively instrumental" or the "proximate and efficient cause" of the action, the plaintiff may be liable. *Jacques Interiors v. Petrak*, 188 Cal. App. 3d 1363, 1372 (1987).

2. Initiated without probable cause and with malice

The malicious-prosecution plaintiff must establish both malice and lack of probable cause by the defendant in the underlying action.

In a malicious-prosecution action against an attorney in a civil suit, the standard for probable cause is whether a reasonable attorney would have thought the underlying claim was tenable at the time the original complaint was filed. *Sheldon Appel Co. v. Albert & Oliker*, 47 Cal. 3d 863, 885–86 (1989). An

attorney may be liable for continuing to prosecute a claim after they discover the action lacks probable cause, even if there was probable cause at the outset. *Zamos v. Stroud*, 32 Cal. 4th 958, 970 (2004).

The adequacy of an attorney's research is not relevant, because probable cause relies on an objective standard of reasonableness. But if the court finds no probable cause, the thoroughness of the attorney's research may apply to showing malice. *Sheldon Appel Co.*, 47 Cal. 3d at 875.

The showing of malice requires evidence of "ill will or some improper purpose," ranging "anywhere from open hostility to indifference." *Grindle v. Lorbeer*, 196 Cal. App. 3d 1461, 1465 (1987). Malice may be inferred from lack of probable cause if the party's behavior was clearly unreasonable. However, this is not an automatic inference. *Grindle*, 196 Cal. App. 3d at 1468 ("Negligence does not equate with malice"). As above, failure by an attorney to conduct an adequate investigation may be evidence of "indifference" suggesting malice.

3. Favorable termination

Malicious prosecution requires that the underlying complaint to have been terminated in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff. This means that a defendant cannot make a malicious-prosecution counterclaim as a "defense" to a complaint that appears to be malicious. Until the underlying complaint has been resolved, a malicious-prosecution claim cannot lie. *Babb v. Superior Court*, 3 Cal. 3d 841, 846-847 (1971). Thus, procedurally, the only option is to complete the underlying action, and then file a claim for malicious prosecution in a follow-on action.

"Termination" usually means the entry of judgment in favor of the malicious-prosecution plaintiff on a given claim. But any termination—for instance, deleting a claim from an amended complaint—is adequate basis for malicious prosecution. Whether the underlying claim may be revived (e.g., on appeal) is not relevant for malicious prosecution. As long as it's been judicially terminated once, it's fair game.

4. Defenses

The plaintiff in a malicious-prosecution action may still be barred from recovery if defendant successfully pleads an affirmative defense. The major affirmative defense is reliance on counsel, but this is not available when the malicious-prosecution defendant is himself the counsel in the underlying action.

Another major affirmative defense is proof that the underlying action was only initiated after an independent investigation of the charges by appropriate authorities. For example, in a medical-malpractice case where the doctor had been investigated by the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, who recommended bringing the malpractice claim, the maliciousprosecution defendants successfully pled this affirmative defense. *Hogen v. Valley Hospital*, 147 Cal. App. 3d 119, 125 (1983).

The statute of limitations for malicious prosecution is two years. Ordinarily this period starts at the time of entry of judgment in the underlying action, even though that action may still be subject to appeal. However, if the appeal is filed, the statute of limitations stops running until the appellate process is exhausted, at which time it continues running. *Feld v. W. Land & Dev. Co.*, 2 Cal. App. 4th 1328, 1334 (1992).

5. Remedies

The successful malicious-prosecution plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, and in certain cases, punitive damages. Compensatory damages include reasonable attorney's fees and costs in defending the underlying action. They also can include damages for emotional distress, mental suffering, impairment to reputation, and value of time and wages lost to the underlying action. *Bertero v. Nat'l Gen. Corp.*, 13 Cal. 3d 43, 59 (1974); *Rupp v. Summerfield*, 161 Cal. App. 2d 657, 667 (1958). THE LAW OFFICES OF CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER PLC

5419 HURLEY BLVD STE C731
LOS ANGELES CA 90027
323 555 1435
323 555 1439 FAX

CADMIUM @ CQELAW.COM

February 15, 2010

George Falkenburg Falkenburg, Fester, and Funk LLP 1252 W. 83rd Street Bakersfield, CA 90909

Re: Nicholson v. Amygdala Inc., Case No. B718590125-2

Dear Mr. Falkenburg:

In response to your recent request, I've enclosed a DVD of photographs I took during the inspection of the Amygdala facility on October 30, 2009.

I apologize for the delay, but I was recently hospitalized for a concussion sustained while rollerblading. Rest assured that I am on the mend. If you have any questions about this DVD, please let me know.

Separately: you recently served a set of **953 interrogatories** on my client. These interrogatories were *not* accompanied by the declaration of necessity that's required when serving more than 35 requests. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 2030.050.

I must, therefore, ask you to withdraw these interrogatories. While you are welcome to serve them again with the necessary declaration, my client is not obligated to respond to procedurally defective discovery requests. Furthermore, if you don't withdraw these interrogatories within six days, I will file a motion for protective order and seek sanctions against you and your client.

By the way, it was great seeing you and Thelma over the holidays. I think we still have your cheesecake platter. Let's talk soon about our plans for Maui in the spring.

Sincerely,

Con Ego

CADMIUM Q. EAGLEFEATHER

CQE / bqe Enclosure

TRIXIE B. ARGON

5419 HOLLYWOOD BLVD. STE. C731 LOS ANGELES CA 90027 (323) 555-1435 TRIXIEARGON @ GMAIL.COM

Education				
UCLA SCHOOL OF LAWCumulative GPA: 3.98	2007 - 10			
Academic interests: real-estate financing, criminal procedure, corporations California Bar Exam results pending				
HARVARD UNIVERSITY	2002-06			
• B.A. <i>summa cum laude</i> , economics				
Extensive coursework in astrophysics, statistics				
Van Damme Scholarship				
Legal experience				
Falkenburg, Fester, & Funk LLP	2008 - NOW			
Law clerk				
• Handled various litigation matters in state and federal court				
An unlawful-detainer action				
• A demurrer to a breach-of-contract lawsuit in state court				
• Oppositions to motions to dismiss in federal court (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b), 12(e), 9(b))				
Development of evidence for Internet trademark-infringement actions				
Other work experience				
Proximate Cause	2006 - 07			
Assistant to the director				
• Helped devise fundraising campaigns for this innovative nonprofit				
Handled lunch orders and general errands				
Ηοτ Τορις	2003 - 05			
Retail-sales associate				
• Top in-store sales associate in seven out of eight quarters				
Inventory management				
Training and recruiting				